

REVIEW FORM – BH EKONOMSKI FORUM

Article Number		Deadline for review	in 2 week							
Title										
<p>Your familiarity with the subject of this manuscript (1- 5)? () (5 is very familiar)</p> <p>If you consider yourself not familiar with the subject matter, please return the manuscript</p>										
Structure of the paper										
Criteria	Excellent	Good	Poor	Comments						
Paper structure (organization into sections, subsections, appendix)										
Clearly stated purpose and objective(s)										
Introduction & conclusion focus clearly on the main point										
<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%;">The paper presents an overview of the relevant literature.</td> <td style="width: 20%; text-align: center;">YES</td> <td style="width: 30%; text-align: center;">NO</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Need for proofreading.</td> <td style="text-align: center;">YES</td> <td style="text-align: center;">NO</td> </tr> </table>					The paper presents an overview of the relevant literature.	YES	NO	Need for proofreading.	YES	NO
The paper presents an overview of the relevant literature.	YES	NO								
Need for proofreading.	YES	NO								
Points for Reviewers to Consider										
Yes () No () 1. Is the title appropriate? ?										
Yes () No () 2. Does the abstract accurately reflect the content?										
Yes () No () 3. Is the purpose or thesis of the article stated clearly?										

Yes () No () 4. Is the purported significance of the article explicitly stated?

Yes () No () 5. Does the article adequately tie to the relevant literature?

Yes () No () 6. Are the research study methods sound and appropriate?

Yes () No () 7. Are the literature review and research study methods explained clearly?

Yes () No () 8. Is the primary thesis argued persuasively?

Yes () No () 9. Is the writing clear concise and interesting?

Yes () No () 10. Are all figures, tables, and photos (and maps, if any) necessary and appropriate?

Yes () No () 11. Are the conclusions or summary accurate and supported by the content?

Yes () No () 12. Are the references adequate?

Yes () No () 13. Is the work sound from the point of view of concept, method, and technique applied?

Please tick all points applicable (click in box)

Does the paper provide

Yes () No () a) an important contribution to its field?

Yes () No () b) contribution that is useful but not of fundamental importance?

Yes () No () c) novel ideas/concepts/techniques?

Yes () No () d) new application of existing concepts and techniques?

Yes () No () e) a useful synthesis in its field?

Yes () No () f) other important considerations? (If yes, please specify on separate sheet)

Yes () No () g) are all figures and tables complete and accurate

Please tick what is applicable:

() I have returned the manuscript with marginal comments (included on the manuscript).

() I enclose comments on a separate sheet/e-mail/Word file.

() As far as I know, this manuscript has not been published before.

Recommended Changes
Specific Reviewer Comments and Suggestions
Reviewer Recommendation
Please indicate which of the following actions you recommend. <input type="checkbox"/> 1. Publish, no significant alterations suggested. <input type="checkbox"/> 2. Publish, but suggest changes to the article as specified in this review. <input type="checkbox"/> 3. Reject, do not encourage a rewrite.